NSABB Public Consultation on Personnel Reliability



April 2009

Public Consultation: Purpose

- Explore potential impact on the scientific community
 - Investigators
 - Research institutions and organizations
- Topics
 - Concept of personnel reliability and its implementation
 - Personnel reliability measures
 - Optimal characteristics and methods for assessing reliability
 - Potential benefits and consequences of personnel reliability programs




Public Consultation

- April 3, 2009

 Presentations, videocast, podcasts at <u>www.biosecurityboard.gov</u>

~200 attendees

- Public
- Private
- Non-profit



Public Consultation: Background Presentations

- Extant Personnel Reliability Programs (PRPs)
 a Army
 - DOE
- Select Agent Program: Security Risk Assessment
 CDC and DOJ/CJIS
- PRP in Academia (planned)
 UTMB, Galveston National Lab
- NSABB WG on PR: Proposed Optimal Personnel Characteristics



Public Consultation: Organizing Framework

- Optimal Personnel Characteristics
 - No history of scientific or professional misconduct
 - Emotionally stable and capable of sound judgment
 - Positive attitude toward safety and security measures, and standard operating procedures
 - Free of vulnerability to coercion
 - Free of felony convictions
 - No domestic or international terrorist ties



Public Consultation: Panelist expertise

- Select agent and other biomedical research
- Personnel reliability programs
- Biosafety
- Research administration
- Responsible conduct of research
- Psychiatry and psychometric research



Themes and Issues: Operational aspects of PRPs

- Role of the Responsible Official (RO)
 Qualifications, training, and responsibilities
- Costs of PRPs vary greatly
 - u type of institution
 - existing infrastructure



- Adequacy of current measures
- Need for additional measures
- Correlation between optimal characteristics and security
- Data supporting effectiveness



Personal history

- **Is the past prologue to the future?**
- □ Unreliability ≠ will engage in bioterrorism
- Past behavior may provide a false sense of security
- Job performance measures may be more effective



- Biosafety and biosecurity applications
- Resource-intensive
- Difficult to implement with limited number of staff





- Need guidance on reporting the restriction of access
 - **What to report, to whom, and when?**
 - Disclose reasoning and procedures leading to the decision?
- Potential negative effects

 Individual's career and reputation
 Privacy and liability issues
 Culture of trust



Themes and Issues: Engaged leadership at the local level is critical

- Reliability is best managed locally at the institutional level
- Investigators must be engaged
- Strong working relationships should be fostered
- Training is critical



Themes and Issues: *A balanced approach is needed*

- PRP could be a disincentive to remain in or enter select agent research
 - "Culture shock"
 - Recruiting difficulties
- New requirements may stifle innovation
- Must monitor PRP impacts, effectiveness, and unintended consequences
- Proceed with caution



Themes and Issues: Layers of accountability

Local or institutional level:

- Committed institutional and laboratory leadership
- Peer reporting
- Training

Federal level:

- Effective regulatory programs
 - -performance-based standards
 - -flexibility and discretion in application
 - –local enforcement



Themes and Issues: Other issues of concern

- Psychological assessments administered by a trained professional
- Privacy
- ADA issues
- Impact of restricting an individual's access
- Compounding compliance burden